

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/935,054	08/23/2001	Masahiro Odashima	041514-5231	. 7761	
9629	7590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			ISSING, GREGORY C		
	YLVANIA AVENUE NW ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3662		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	on No	Applicant(s)	/				
		09/935,05		ODASHIMA ET AL.	\bigvee				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Gregory C	C. Issina	3662	1				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			orrespondenc addres	s				
Period fo	• •		O EVENE A MONTH	(O) FDOM					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve . reply within the statutiod will apply and wi atute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status		0 November 20	200						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> .								
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
<i>ا</i> ل	closed in accordance with the practice under				110 15				
Disposit	ion of Claims								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4) Claim(s) 1,3,8 and 10 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from co	nsideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.								
·	7)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to								
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	E LAGITINEI. NO	ne the attached Office	ACCOUNT OF TOTAL	JZ.				
_		eian priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachmen			🗖 .						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152					

Art Unit: 3662

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1, 3, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter "in order to enable or disable said other mobile vehicle, at any particular time" is not disclosed in the specification as originally filed and therefore constitutes new matter. The specification only discloses the enabling or disabling of the display of the other mobile vehicles and says nothing about the enabling or disabling of the mobile vehicles.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite since it is unclear how the setting of a vehicle in a valid state or invalid state in order to enable or disable the vehicle has any bearing on the display of the vehicle when in a valid state.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3662

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Usui.

Usui discloses the claimed position detection apparatus and method for a mobile object as definite and within scope of the original disclosure, including transmitter/receiver means 4 for receiving position information and identification data of other mobile objects (col. 3, lines 42-45), an identification data judgement means 6 which determines whether or not the identification data is predetermined identification data (col. 3, lines 60-62), and display means 3 which

Art Unit: 3662

displays the position(s) of the other mobile objects when the identification judgement means determines that the identification data is predetermined identification data (col. 3, lines 62 – col. 4, line 7). The identification data judgement means meets the scope of the claimed "setting part" since it allows for the own mobile object to select/set in a predetermined manner which other mobile objects are valid, i.e. predetermined, or not valid, i.e. not predetermined. The identification of being predetermined or not predetermined respectively enables or disables the display of the other mobile object at the own mobile object display means. The setting in a predetermined manner inherently or, in the very least, obviously includes one or more steps for selecting which mobile objects are desired to be displayed. Embodiment three of Usui discloses the conventionality of transmitting position requests

Applicants argue that the claimed subject matter distinguishes over Usui since the identification data judgement means 6 will only allow information to be displayed to a user if the other mobile vehicle's information is predetermined whereas the applicants can enable/disable any of its predetermined other information at any particular time using the setting part. This is not convincing. Firstly, the language "at any particular time" is no different from a "predetermined time" since a predetermined time can be any particular time chosen by the user. Secondly, the setting of the other mobile vehicle as predetermined or not predetermined is no different from the claimed setting of the other mobile vehicle in a valid or invalid state. Lastly, the claims as amended are not supported by the original disclosure. The setting of valid or invalid merely enables or disables the display of the other mobile vehicle which is exactly what occurs in Usui, when the identification is predetermined, the display of the other object is

Art Unit: 3662

enabled whereas when the identification is not predetermined, the other vehicle position is not displayed.

- 8. Claims 1, 3, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kail, IV.
- 9. Kail, IV discloses a re-programmable remote sensor monitoring system, see Figure 1 for example, including a portable central monitoring device (14) and a portable monitoring unit (10) wherein the central monitoring device (1) remotely controls the state of the portable monitoring unit via a request signal so as to activate the transmission of information from the portable monitoring unit, including the transmission of location information determined via a GPS receiver, (2) receives the location information and other sensor information, and (3) connects to a display terminal for interfacing with a user.
- 10. Kail, IV clealy shows the remote control of a mobile object so as to place the mobile object in an activated/deactivated state via remote signal from a user at any time desired by the user.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3662

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Lemelson et al disclose a system and method for locating mobile objects including

the use of PINs to enable or disable the transmission of position signals.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is (703)-306-4156.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703)-306-4171. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Gregory C. Issing

Page 6

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662

gci